

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,604		01/21/2004	Venkatesan Manivannan	130234	5036
6147	7590	09/28/2005		EXAMINER	
		TRIC COMPANY	KOSLOW, CAROL M		
GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59			9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	UNA, NY			. 1755	
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\			
		10/762,604	MANIVANNAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		C. Melissa Koslow	1755				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPREVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN INC. IN IT IS A STATE IN IT IN IT IN IT IS A STATE IN IT	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· —	• •	This action is non-final.	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for al		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	<b>;</b>			
	closed in accordance with the practice un						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·		•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit						
	Claim(s) 10 and 21-26 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-9, 11, 12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.						
_	Claim(s) 3 and 13 is/are objected to.	,					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer :					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> i		objected to by the Evaminer				
. • , 🖂	Applicant may not request that any objection t		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			n			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			<i>)</i> ·			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	•	roign priority under 25 H.C.C.	C 440(=) (d) == (5)				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
مار <u>ه</u>	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		<del></del>				
	application from the International B		received in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for		t received				
		a not of the certified copies no	rreceived.				
Attachmen							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
∠) ∐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	(8) Paper No (8B/08) 5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/1/05;1/21/04</u> .	6) Other: _					
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/762,604

Art Unit: 1755

CN 15444575A cited in the information disclosure statement filed 1 August 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The degree symbol is missing from the temperatures in the specification. The information in the blank in paragraph [0046] needs to be provided. For the formulas in paragraphs [0046] and [0048]-[0050], applicants need to clarify if all the elements in the parenthesis need to be present or if at least one of the element needs to be present. The art interprets the meaning of elements in parenthesis both ways. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 14, 17 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: The degree symbols are missing from the temperatures. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is improperly dependent on claim 18. It should depend from claim 10. Claim 12 is indefinite since it is unclear if all the elements in the parenthesis need to be present or if at least one of the element needs to be present. The art interprets the meaning of elements in parenthesis both ways.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for producing AB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Ce,Mn, wherein A is at least a rare earth element

other than cerium, does not reasonably provide enablement for any phosphor containing boron, cerium, manganese and at least one rare earth other than cerium. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims recite a phosphor containing boron, cerium, manganese and at least one rare earth other than cerium. This encompasses <u>any</u> phosphor containing boron, cerium, manganese and at least one rare earth other than cerium, such as the phosphors of U.S. patents 5,132,043 and 4,319,161. However, the specification only teaches the use of AB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Ce,Mn, wherein A is at least a rare earth element other than cerium. Such a limited disclosure does not support the breadth of the instant claims. The examiner suggests the incorporation of AB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Ce,Mn, wherein A is at least a rare earth element other than cerium into claims 14, 17 and 19.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the article by Peters et al.

This article teaches a green phosphor having the formula GbB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Mn,Ce. The article clearly teaches the claimed phosphor.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. patent 4,319,161.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,604

Art Unit: 1755

Examples 33-35, 39 and 40 teach producing a phosphor comprising mixing gadolinium oxide, cerium oxide, manganese carbonate and boric acid and firing the mixture in a reducing atmosphere at 940°C or 1035°C for 1 hour. This is the claimed process.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article by Peters et al.

This reference teaches a green phosphor having the formula GbB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Mn,Ce. It does not teach the amounts of cerium and manganese, but the amounts must be that effective to provide a green emission having a peak of 530 nm. It appears these amounts overlap the claimed ranges since the claimed ranges provide a green emission having a peak in the range of about 530-550 nm.

Claims 10 and 21-26 are allowable over the cited art of record.

Claims 3 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11, 12 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

There is no teaching or suggestion in the cited art of record of a phosphor blend, a light source and a display comprising a phosphor having the formula AB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Ce,Mn, wherein A is at least a rare earth element other than cerium. There is no suggestion in the article to use the taught phosphor in a phosphor blend, a light source and a display. There is no teaching or suggestion in the cited art to produce a phosphor having the formula AB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>:Ce,Mn, wherein A is at least a rare earth element other than cerium by the claimed processes. The article does not teach how the taught phosphor is produced and there is no suggestion as to claimed firing temperature. U.S. patent 5,132,043 is cited as of interest since it teaches a process similar to that of claims 17 and 18, but the taught maximum precipitate heating temperature is 500°C and there is no suggestion to increase this temperature so it falls within the claimed range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,604

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk September 23, 2005

C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700 Page 6